


GROOTDRAAI DAM FORUM

26 November 2019

Nooitgedacht, Ermelo

10:00 – 12:40



| No | Item | Action | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|------|--------------|---|------------------|-----------------|---|----------------|-----------------|---|----------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------|-------|---|---------------|--------|---|--------------|----------------|---|---------------------|--------|---|---------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| 1. | Welcome The Acting Chairperson welcomed everyone present at the forum meeting and also apologized for not having electricity as it was due to Load shedding. | <i>Lesiba Mabona</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Introduction <i>L Mabona</i> introduced himself as the chairperson and requested all attendees to introduce themselves. | <i>All</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Apologies <i>L Mabona</i> asked attendees to sign the attendance register which was being circulated and to record any apologies on the attendance register. <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>No</th><th>Name</th><th>Organization</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1</td><td>Mashudu Gangazhe</td><td>Msobo Coal Mine</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Awande Nojekwa</td><td>Msobo Coal Mine</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Alfred Mangena</td><td>Goven Mbeki Local Municipality</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Else Coop</td><td>Eskom</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>Jurgo Van Wyk</td><td>DWS HO</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>Robert Davel</td><td>MP Agriculture</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>Karlien De Villiers</td><td>DWS HO</td></tr><tr><td>8</td><td>Sakhile Nkosi</td><td>Msukaligwa Local municipality</td></tr></tbody></table> | No | Name | Organization | 1 | Mashudu Gangazhe | Msobo Coal Mine | 2 | Awande Nojekwa | Msobo Coal Mine | 3 | Alfred Mangena | Goven Mbeki Local Municipality | 4 | Else Coop | Eskom | 5 | Jurgo Van Wyk | DWS HO | 6 | Robert Davel | MP Agriculture | 7 | Karlien De Villiers | DWS HO | 8 | Sakhile Nkosi | Msukaligwa Local municipality | <i>All</i> |
| No | Name | Organization | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mashudu Gangazhe | Msobo Coal Mine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Awande Nojekwa | Msobo Coal Mine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Alfred Mangena | Goven Mbeki Local Municipality | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Else Coop | Eskom | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Jurgo Van Wyk | DWS HO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Robert Davel | MP Agriculture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Karlien De Villiers | DWS HO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Sakhile Nkosi | Msukaligwa Local municipality | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Minutes of the Previous Meeting Corrections The forum adopted the minutes as a true reflection | <i>All</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Matters Arising from the Previous Minutes 1. Feedback on the criminal case against Ermelo landfill site from DARDLEA (carried to the next forum). <ul style="list-style-type: none">No representative <i>from DARLEA</i> was present.Chairperson to make follow up with DARDLEA. 2. <i>Lutho Totsa</i> to present the ground water quality results for Tutuka P/S in the next forum meeting. C Makwela to take it up with Tutuka P/S (Absent without an apology and the action was carried to the next forum meeting) | <i>Mashudu Gangazhe</i> <i>Lutho Totsa</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|---|
| | <p>3. Mashudu Gangazhe to invite DMR to the next forum meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No representative from DMR (carried to the next forum meeting) <p>4. Mashudu Gangazhe to invite DARDLEA to the next forum meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No representative from DARDLEA(carried to the next forum meeting) <p>5. <i>Mthokozisi Mazibuko</i> to send the presentation to the forum Google group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> He said it's still outstanding but it will be done before the next forum meeting. (action carried to the next forum meeting) <p>6. <i>Benjamin Malebye</i> to extend the forum invitation to other components in the Msukaligwa Local Municipality.</p> <p>7. <i>L Marais</i> reported that there are few illegal mining around Goldview mine. -<i>L Nqelenga</i> said that he will check with Jabu.</p> <p>8. Everyone to send their water quality results/presentations to the forum GOOGLE group.</p> | <p><i>Mashudu Gangazhe</i></p> <p><i>Mashudu Gangazhe</i></p> <p><i>Mthokozisi Mazibuko</i></p> <p><i>Benjamin Malebye</i></p> <p><i>Luvuyo Nqelenga</i></p> <p>All</p> |
| <p>6. 6.1</p> | <p>Additions to the Agenda</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nothing was added. | |
| <p>7. 7.1</p> | <p>Items for discussions</p> <p>Rand Water</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S Mporetji</i> presented the water quality for the quarter as follows: At point VE (Vaal river @ Ermelo) - at this point most of the variables were tolerable-acceptable and ideal water qualities. WITPUNTSPRUIT (witpuntsruit @ N2 near camden) - there is a problem of AMD as there is low PH levels and there is high sulphates and that mingt be coming from old mine workings. VAAL-DS_WITPT (vaal river D/S of witpuntspruit) – there is none compliance of sulphates as a results of AMD. VKV (Klein Vaal river) – there is no data as they have reduced the monitoring frequency at that site; last month they noticed that the river was flowing actively and the water quality was very good. VRA (Rietspruit below Amersfoort) – there were none compliance of Nitrate which is associated with raw sewage or poorly treated sewage. VKK (brummerspruit below Ermelo) –most of the parameters did not comply and this was because of the WWTWs as it can be seen that there is none compliance of Ammonia, COD, conductivity, fluoride, alkalinity, phosphates and sulphates. He said that there is a serious problem at Ermelo as there is a lot of raw sewage coming out from broken sewage lines and manholes; they did a site inpection and there was sewage all over the township. VKR (tweefontein @ riverside) - at this point there is some improvements even though it's still not compliant. VK (brummerspruit before vaal river) - The water quality improves as the water reaches this point. VAS (vaal river above standerton) - there are no challenges there. VGK (geelklipsruit below Amersfoort) - there is no data as they have | <p><i>Simon Mporetji</i></p> |

| | | |
|-----|---|----------------------|
| | <p>reduced the monitoring frequency at that site as most of the time there were no problems and the Majuba P/S WWTWs does not discharge.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VBB (blesbokspruit below bethal)- there is challenges of raw sewage discharges from the Pump stations. There were none compliance of Ammonia • VBS (blesbokspruit @ skaapkraal)- at this point the water quality has improved. • VB (blesbokspruit @ vaal river confluence) –the water quality has improved. • ND-LEEU (leeuspruit @ new Denmark colliery) – there was unacceptable levels of sulphates and conductivity. • VS (vaal river @ standerton)- there were no problems and the only none compliance was of fluoride. • He also presented the WWTWs measured against the general authorization. • S Bethal WWTWs-there was unacceptable level of ammonia, COD, Faecal coliforms and fluoride. • Ermelo WWTWs-there was unacceptable levels of ammonia, COD, Faecal coliforms. • Tutukani WWTWs- the only problems they have is the microbiological determinants such as Faecal coliforms. • New Denmark colliery (south shaft) WWTWs- the only none compliance was faecal coliforms for the quarter and the other parameters were acceptable.(The water quality report is available on www.reservoir.co.za) | |
| 7.2 | <p>DWS Water Quality Management.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>L Mabona</i> presented the water qualities as follows: • VE (final effluent @ Breyten works)- there was none compliance of Ammonia, COD and E.Coli. • VE (Vaal river origin @N17 Bridge) most was none compliance of chloride. • VE (Vaal river @ R29/N2 Bridge at Camden-the water quality there was relatively good. • VE (Humanspruit D/s of delta mine)-we had high conductivity, chloride, PH & Sulphates. • VE (witpunsruit @ usuthu mine) – there was high conductivity, chloride, PH & Sulphates. • VE (witpunsruit @R29 N2 Camden bridge) none compliance of conductivity, chloride, PH & Sulphates. • VRA (Vaal river on N11 Bridge to Amersfoort)- the water quality was relatively good. • VRA (final effluent @ Amersfoort sewage works)- this point was not compliant as there are challenges of raw sewage discharge as the plant is not working. • VRA (Rietspruit @ N11 tapfontein bridge to Ermelo & Amersfoort) - we had relatively acceptable water qualities except for Nitrates, PH, COD & E.Coli. • VK (GDDC06 spruit @ old spitskop mine) - they had high conductivity, Nitrates and sulphates but most of the variables were acceptable and ideal. • VK (GDDC04 Douglas dam inflow @ N11 Bridge) we had high conductivity and sulphates. • S-ESW (Emerlo sewage works) – it is not compliant. • VK (Brummerspruit @ N17 D/S of Ermelo)- most of the variable are unacceptable and it relates to the Ermelo WWTWs not performing. | <i>Lesiba Mabona</i> |

| | | |
|-----|--|------------------------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VBS (GDDC15 Blesbokspruit bridge at @R38 Bridge Tapfontein)- there was none compliance of conductivity, Chloride, COD & E.Coli. • S-BETHAL (Final effluent @ Bethal sewage works)-most of the parameters are unacceptable such as Ammonia, COD and E.Coli. • VBB (Blesbokspruit D/S of Bethal sewage works)-this point was not compliant. • VBS (VS2-4 blesbokspruit @ R39 Rietvley bridge) – at this point the water qualities were relatively good. • ND-LEEU (Leeuspruit D/S of New Denmark Colliery) - there were none compliance of E.Coli. • ND-LEEU (Leeuspruit @ welbedacht Bridge)-the water quality was relatively good. • VAS (VS4-4 GDDC11 vaal river @ R35 Bloukop Bridge)-They had unacceptable levels of Nitrate in August. • VAS (Grootdraai dam wall)-The water quality was relatively good. • <i>L Mabona</i> said that they are working together with CME to try and resolve none compliance issues in the Grootdraai dam catchment. • <i>S Mporetji</i> asked if the task team is still functioning and how far are they with issue of AMD. • <i>L Mabona</i> responded that the task team is not existing due to none attendance by municipalities. • <i>E Matseba</i> said that the issue of AMD said that the issue of AMD is being addressed nationally. • <i>R Ndou</i> said that we should profile municipality and try to find out their challenges. • <i>E Matseba</i> indicated that we all know the challenges that municipalities are facing and the CME guys are issuing directives. He also mentioned that the National water act is being revised and everyone can include their inputs and comments to strengthen it. • <i>L Nqelenga</i> also added that the challenge with municipalities is that they are not responsive. • <i>S Mporetji</i> said that in Ermelo the houses do not have a well responsive network system. • <i>R Hariram</i> also added that the sewage network in Ermelo is aging and there has not been upgrade in years. • <i>E Matseba</i> said that between 2014 and 2015 DWS spent R40 Million but if you check now there is not much which can be positive to say; Cogta must play their part in monitoring and supervising the municipalities so that they can comply. | |
| 7.3 | <p>DWS Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement</p> <p>Regulation:</p> <p>Compliance Monitoring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>L Nqelenga</i> reported that that they have done a compliance audit at Bethal WWTWs and they have been responded with an action plan. <p>Enforcement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>L Nqelenga</i> also reported they have opened a criminal case against Msukaligwa Local Municipality municipal manager. • He then reported that notices have been issued to the facility with regard to the Eskom pipeline which had been reported in the forum. | <i>Luvuyo Nqelenga</i> |

| | | |
|---------------|---|------------------------|
| 8. 8.1 | Catchment Activities (5 Minutes Report Back by Stakeholders) TUTUKA POWER STATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (Absent without an apology) | |
| 8.2 | CAMDEN POWER STATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S Roux</i> reported that will give feedback on the Camden new ash disposal Facility project. They are busy constructing a new ash disposal facility as well as an ash handling plant which is commonly known as the pipeline and also ash water return dam. The activities currently are the lining and due to the rain they can't put equipment there as there would be water. They are almost finished with the clean and dirty water channels. On the ash water return dam they are constructing on site and they are busy constructing the concrete slabs at the moment and the delays are due to the rain. The ash disposal dam itself is outstanding in terms of the design and the pipeline; they have submitted the design drawings to Calvin, he commented to their engineering department and they are waiting for the final assessment. They have communicated with CME and they have appointed a wetland specialist. <i>B Malatsi</i> asked on when they are commissioning. <i>S Roux</i> responded they are anticipating that in March- September 2020. <i>S Mporetji</i> asked if the river that flows through their facility is flowing and are they monitoring it. <i>S Roux</i> said that they are not monitoring it but the power station is monitoring it and as a project they are not authorised to access that area. | <i>Shane Roux</i> |
| 8.3 | MAJUBA POWER STATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>C Claassen</i> said they do not have anything significant to report. <i>L Mabona</i> said that they must send the results to the Google group for people can apply their minds. | <i>Cornel Claassen</i> |
| 8.4 | NEW DENMARK COLLIERY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Absent without an apology. | |
| 8.5 | Anker coal (GOLFVIEW & LELIEFONTEIN) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>L Marais</i> said that the matter has been referred to DMR as Golfview is a very sensitive area; there is historic and illegal mining. He is not sure on the progress of the license application for Golfview. He also said that he has nothing to report with regards to Leliefontein. | <i>Louis Marais</i> |
| 8.6 | MSOBO COAL (SPITZKOP AND TSELENTIS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Absent with an apology. | <i>Awande Nojekwa</i> |
| 8.7 | MOOPLAATS COLLIERY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>L Marais</i> said that Mooiplaats colliery is still operational and the water quality has been stable until the end of October and they received the results for November and there has not been any change on the qualities at Witpuntspruit or in the Vaal River except for seasonal trends. <i>L Mabona</i> said that they must send the water qualities results to the forum GOOGLE group. | <i>Louis Marais</i> |

| | | |
|------|--|----------------------------|
| 8.8 | UMLABU COAL MINE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Absent without an apology. | |
| 8.9 | VUNENE COAL MINE (Usuthu Colliery) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>M Mazibuko</i> gave an apology for <i>Z Masina</i> as she had to be excused. <i>L Mabona</i> said that the <i>Vunene coal mine</i> must be sent to the forum GOOGLE group. | |
| 8.10 | PENUMBRA COAL MINING. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>M Mazibuko</i> said that the the trend is still the same where on the upstream there is better water qualities and the downstream the water qualities have deteriorated but at Penumbra is not that bad as compared to Delta because at Delta there is that decant point. <i>L Mabona</i> said that the results must be sent to the forum GOOGLE group. | <i>Mthokozisi Mazibuko</i> |
| 8.11 | Silver lakes (Uitgevalen and Liliefontein colliery). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (Absent without an apology) | |
| 8.12 | Govan Mbeki Municipality. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Absent with an apology(water quality results was sent to the forum GOOGLE group) | <i>Alfred Mangena</i> |
| 8.13 | GERT SIBANDE DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>T Mphaga</i> said that from the district point of view there is not much that they do with regards to water but they support the local municipality. He said that he had prepared a presentation based on what they do and he has sent it to the forum Google group. The Laboratory conducts training for all Personnel in LM's water section involved in process monitoring and sampling & MHS responsible for sampling to support Local municipalities. | <i>Tshiamo Mphaga</i> |
| 8.14 | MSUKALIGWA MUNICIPALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>B Malebye</i> reported that they have been straggling with operation and maintenance of WWTWs; basically there were no longer people on site; from July the municipality has structured a water and sanitation section. He said that there is a call centre was people must report spillages but is not that responsive as they have challenges of the aging infrastructure. They currently do not have WUL for their WWTWs as the process was not completed. They have started engaging DWS in terms of assistance on how to get their WUL he also mentioned that they are having challenges with funding. They have started monitoring the WWTWs and they have started collecting samples and taking them to the lab for analysis. They are hoping to see changes in Ermelo WWTWs from February. At Breyten WWTWs they have challenges with the maintenance of the plant and most of the pipes have leaks and that results in them not feeding the WWTWs. They are also aware of the directives that have been issued and they are working in resolving the none-compliances. <i>A Shibambo</i> advised them that the correct office to communicate with regard to WULA for the Grootdraai dam Catchment is Gauteng regional office and they are based in Pretoria. | <i>Benjamin Malebye</i> |
| 8.15 | PIXLEY KA SEME MUNICIPALITY <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (Absent without an apology) | |

| 8.16 | LEKWA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY • (Absent without an apology) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|---|----------|--------|----------|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| 8.17 | Department of Mineral Resources • (Absent without an apology) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8.18 | DARDLEA • (Absent without an apology) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | <p>Water Use License Status</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>B Malatsi</i> presented the WULA status for Grootdraai Dam catchment as follows: <p>Water Use Licence Status</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>No</th> <th>Name</th> <th>Status</th> <th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td><i>Mooiplaats Colliery</i></td> <td>Initial assessment (waiting for outstanding information from the applicant.)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td><i>South 32 Mining Ermelo coal processing plant</i></td> <td>Initial assessment</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td><i>South 32 Mining Davel mine</i></td> <td>Initial assessment.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td><i>Umlabu coal mine</i></td> <td>Initial assessment(they are still going through the information given so that they can take a decision)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td><i>New Denmark Colliery Amendment</i></td> <td>The application is in the National Office for final decision and its awaiting a response</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | No | Name | Status | Comments | 1 | <i>Mooiplaats Colliery</i> | Initial assessment (waiting for outstanding information from the applicant.) | | 2 | <i>South 32 Mining Ermelo coal processing plant</i> | Initial assessment | | 3 | <i>South 32 Mining Davel mine</i> | Initial assessment. | | 4 | <i>Umlabu coal mine</i> | Initial assessment(they are still going through the information given so that they can take a decision) | | 5 | <i>New Denmark Colliery Amendment</i> | The application is in the National Office for final decision and its awaiting a response | | <i>Bishop Malatsi</i> |
| No | Name | Status | Comments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | <i>Mooiplaats Colliery</i> | Initial assessment (waiting for outstanding information from the applicant.) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | <i>South 32 Mining Ermelo coal processing plant</i> | Initial assessment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | <i>South 32 Mining Davel mine</i> | Initial assessment. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | <i>Umlabu coal mine</i> | Initial assessment(they are still going through the information given so that they can take a decision) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | <i>New Denmark Colliery Amendment</i> | The application is in the National Office for final decision and its awaiting a response | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | <p>Pollution incidents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>L Mabona</i> mentioned that anything that is seen as pollution must be reported. <i>L Marais</i> reported that there are few illegal mining around Goldview mine. <i>L Ngelenga</i> said that he will check with Jabu. <i>B Malatsi</i> alluded that with regard to the issues of Zama Zamas DMR must take charge. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | <p>Catchment Management Agency Process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>E Matseba</i> reported that they are still waiting for the minister's feedback with regard to the appointment of the advisory committee. | <i>Ephraim Matseba</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | <p>Discussions of the Additions to the agenda</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No items for discussions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | <p>Next Meeting 25 February 2020, venue to be announced.</p> | <i>All</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | <p>Closure <i>L Mabona</i> thanked <i>Msobo Coal</i> for providing the forum with a venue & Lunch; he further thanked everyone wished everyone a safe festive season and declared the meeting adjourned at 12H40.</p> | <i>Lesiba Mabona</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

**Appendix I
Water Use License Status**

| No | Name | Status | Comments |
|----|--|--|----------|
| 1 | Mooiplaats Colliery | Initial assessment (waiting for outstanding information from the applicant.) | |
| 2 | South 32 Mining Ermelo coal processing plant | Initial assessment | |
| 3 | South 32 Mining Davel mine | Initial assessment. | |
| 4 | Umlabu coal mine | They are still going through the information given so that they can take a decision. | |
| 5 | New Denmark Colliery Amendment | The application is in the National Office for final decision and its awaiting a response | |

Appendix II

Acronyms

| | |
|----------------|---|
| P/S | Power Stations |
| EC | Electrical conductivity |
| NH3 | Ammonia |
| COD | Chemical Oxygen Demand |
| pH | Percentage of Hydrogen |
| SS | Suspended Solid |
| WWTWs | Waste Water Treatment Works |
| DWS | Department of Water and Sanitation |
| DMR | Department of Mineral Resources |
| DARDLEA | Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Land and Environmental Affairs |
| CMA | Catchment Management Agency |
| WRC | Water Research Commission |
| GSDM | Gert Sibande District Municipality |

GROOTDRAAI DAM FORUM

Date: 26 November 2019

Time: 10:00-12:40

| NO | ACTION | ACTION BY | TIME FRAMES | PROGRESS |
|----|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Feedback on the criminal case against Ermelo landfill site from DARDLEA (Carried to the next forum). -No representative from DARLEA was present. - Mashudu Gangazhe to request them to send a short brief. | <i>Mashudu Gangazhe</i> | | Still standing |
| 2 | <i>Lutho Totsa</i> to present the ground water quality results for Tutuka P/S in the next forum meeting. (Absent without an apology and the action was carried to the next forum meeting) | <i>Lutho Totsa</i> | | Still standing |
| 3 | Mashudu Gangazhe to invite DMR to the next forum meeting No representative from DMR (carried to the next forum meeting) | Mashudu Gangazhe | | Still standing |
| 4 | Mashudu Gangazhe to invite DARDLEA to the next forum meeting. No representative from DARDLEA(carried to the next forum meeting) | Mashudu Gangazhe | | Still standing |
| 5 | <i>Mthokozisi Mazibuko</i> to send the presentation to the forum Google group(outstanding) | <i>Mthokozisi Mazibuko</i> | Before the next forum meeting. | Still standing |
| 6 | <i>Benjamin Malebye</i> to extend the forum invitation to other components in the Msukaligwa Local Municipality. | <i>Benjamin Malebye</i> | | |
| 7 | <i>L Marais</i> reported that there are few illegal mining around Goldview mine. - <i>L Ngelenga</i> said that he will check with Jabu. | <i>Luvuyo Ngelenga</i> | Before the next forum meeting | |
| 8 | Everyone to send their water quality results/presentations to the forum GOOGLE group. | <i>All</i> | Before the forum meeting | |